(1) (3)

c.d. 10dl

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1971 /2004

PETITIONER : Smt. Ramlali Rathor W/o Dadua Rathor& D/o. Tejram Rathor aged about 30 years R/o- Village Chorbhathi, Post- Gorsi, Tehsil- Jaitahari, District - Anooppur (M.P.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India
Thorough the General Manager
S.E.C.Railway
Zone Bilaspur (C.G.)

2. The Divisonal Railway Manager
S.E.C.Railway
Bilaspur (C.G.)

3. The Divisonal Personnel Officer
S.E.C.Railway
Bilaspur (C.G.)

4. The P.W.I.
S.E.C.Railway
Pendra road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

5. Smt. Budhani Bai, Wd/o Tejram Rathor, aged about 60 years, R/o- Village – Patan, Post- Gorsi, Tehsil- Jiatahari, District- Anooppur (M.P.)

6. Sitaram Rathor S/o Mahesh Rathor aged about 30 years, R/o- Village – Patan, Post- Gorsi, Tehsil- Jiatahari, District- Anooppur (M.P.)

P. R. No. 1968/04
Presented by Shri Y.S. Thakur
dated 9-7-04

Received Copy
Rajkumar
09.07.2004
Clerk to
VINAY HARIT
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

The petitioner begs to submit as under: -

(1) PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:
As shown above in the cause title.

(2) PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS:
As shown above in the cause title.

XI-HC-22

(19)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P No 1971/04

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

3-8-04

Shri F.S.Khare, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Vinay Harit, Sr. Standing counsel for Union of India.

Heard.

~~Counsel for the petitioner~~

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the matter relates to service benefits. He further submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within a month, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents ~~shall~~ to decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P.No.1772/2004 and I.A. No. 4609/2004 also stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Pet Rule

[पीछे देखिये